



**CRISTA Masterplan – Early Input Meeting Comments – Jan. 29, 2009**

ew

NEIGHBORHOOD RELATIONS	PROJECT
1. The issue of CRISTA paying no taxes means that services used, ie 911 is paid by neighbors but it also is not available when needed by neighbors	2. How does construction traffic get down into the senior living building project?
3. Improve use of website for better neighbor interaction.	4. No increase in capacity of schools and senior living.
5. Designate the historic buildings on the campus through the historic register process	6. Will sidewalks be added?
7. Garbage is a big concern, increase number of receptacles available	8. Concern regarding the plan for improvements which would impact property of neighbors
9. Poor attitude of parents dropping off kids	10. Practice field: put buffer between 1 <sup>st</sup> and field with no parking on 1 <sup>st</sup>
11. Discrepancy between what was told and what actually occurs reduces trust	12. Concerned about increased pedestrian traffic or auto traffic around practice field
13. ? policy of renting facility out to high traffic use entities on off times	14. Day lighting stream on campus (“upper campus”)
15. Increased area of notification and time allowed for information session	16. Hours /days of construction
17. “Hostile” responses from CRISTA management	18. Concern regarding increasing size of roads may decrease value of homes – also any impact (infrastructure) which might decrease the values of homes
19. Mission needs to include neighbors	20. Keep trees on 190 <sup>th</sup> and Fremont



**CRISTA Masterplan – Early Input Meeting Comments – Jan. 29, 2009**

NEIGHBORHOOD RELATIONS	PROJECT
21. History of poor relationship with neighbors – CRISTA needs to clean up slate	22. Requirement for Environmental Impact Review
23. Increasing “problems” over past 7 years, with no effort seen to control much less improve	24. Attention to environment
25. No follow up on promises for fixing	26. Trees
27. Lack of mutual respect: “tried to work with CRISTA”	28. Include sidewalks in plan – better pedestrian access.
29. Trail cutting very close to property line with no communication – now kids access	30. No through traffic from neighborhood to practice field
31. Kids – encroachment on property	32. Green building practices
33. Phone interference from broadcast	34. Environmental impact of practice field
35. Concern about reopening 1 <sup>st</sup> Ave. NW – letter signed by Clarence Reimer outlining use of gate. ? Keep gate closed	36. No access from 189 <sup>th</sup> – streets are very narrow
37. Driving controls for kids: on-site – attitude	38. Decrease the size of the practice field (reduce by ½)
39. Parking and queuing blocks neighbors from being able to get their mail.	40. Half street improvements – CRISTA’s side only – major impact on property value
41. Eliminate cars coming onto campus: off-site parking, come up with alternate plans	42. Lights – are they planned
43. Concern re: traffic / pedestrian crossing – guards exercise no control	44. What is noise and visual barrier for practice field
45. Concern regarding lack of enforcement of current parking plan	46. Need to know exact hours of practice field use – no

Posted: 2-06-2009



**CRISTA Masterplan – Early Input Meeting Comments – Jan. 29, 2009**

NEIGHBORHOOD RELATIONS	PROJECT
which provides for parking and not on road, also pedestrian safety	weekends
	47. Practice field closed to outside groups
	48. How do these comments get documented?
	49. No access from 189 <sup>th</sup> – streets are very narrow
	50. High school traffic: Offensive attitude, behavior
	51. Plan for queuing parents for pick up
	52. No increase in elementary school population – traffic
	53. Concern about increased traffic
	54. 190 <sup>th</sup> and Fremont: how will that work for traffic
	55. Concern regarding 190 <sup>th</sup> and Fremont: Increased traffic and decreased safety for community
	56. Traffic capacity/plan for school
	57. Concern regarding capacity for schools specifically traffic / pedestrian crossing – guards exercise no control
	58. Traffic capacity/plan for school
	59. Will the buildings of historic significance remain? Designation?

Posted: 2-06-2009